

Michele Cappellari



UNIVERSITY OF

ETGs stellar kinematics in 2000



(van der Marel+98)

(Cappellari+02)

(Thomas+05)

- Most studies based on major-axis kinematics
- Multiple-PA was state-of-the-art____

The power of integral-field data (Kinematic maps from Emsellem+04 and Krajnovic+11=P2) NGC3379 (E1) NGC5631 (S0) NGC4374 (E1)

Fast-rotator

SDSS

Slow-rotators

Genuine ellipticals \rightarrow spheroids from all directions

- Lenticulars \rightarrow look elliptical close to face-on
- Kinematics provide basis for new classification (Emsellem+07; Cappellari+07; → updated in Emsellem+11=P3)



ETGs dominated by inclined disks



- ATLAS^{3D} volume-limited sample (Cappellari+11=P1)
- 90% are fast rotators (Emsellem+11=P3)
- Consistent with randomly oriented galaxies with disks

Measuring kinematical misalignment

NGC4473

SAURON stellar velocity MegaCAM image (Duc+) • $PA_{kin}(1R_e) \approx PA_{phot}(3R_e)$ (Krajnovic+11=P2) • Kinematics aligned with outer stellar halo • Stellar halos consistent with axisymmetry

Triaxial kinematic misalignment

- In triaxial stellar halos
- Little relation
 between projected
 and intrinsic axes
- Misalignment widespread



Fast rotators are axisymmetric



90% of all fast rotators aligned within ≈ 5°
 Only exceptions are bars and interactions
 Non-axisymmetric shape strongly excluded
 Out to the stellar halo ~ 3R_e

What are fast/slow rotators?



Slow rotators

- Weakly triaxial
- NO disks

 \mathbf{O}

 Elliptical isophotes from any direction

Fast rotators



- Axisymmetric
- With stellar disks
- Classified disky-E or S0 when seen edge-on



Accurate galaxy M/L



Dynamical-mass scaling relations



(Cappellari+13b=P20) (Cappellari+13a=P15)

- Replace $L \rightarrow M$ in Faber-Jackson and Kormendy rel.
- Both projection provide the same information
- Linked by virial equation $M \propto \sigma^2 R_e$ (see Cappellari+06; Bolton+08; Auger+10)
- But details of measuring σ , R_e are critical!

Popul., molec. gas & IMF follow σ



ATLAS^{3D} (Cappellari+11=P1) complete ETGs sample with (i) photometry (ii) population, (iii) IFU kinematics, (iv) CO and (v) HI gas
 Population (Age, Z, α), molecular gas fraction and IMF trace σ

Properties driven by bulge fraction



- Bulge linked to quenching for $M_* \lesssim 2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ (also Cappellari-11; Bell+12; Saintonge+12; Cheung+12; Fang+13)
- Three characteristic galaxy stellar masses (cfr. Faber+97; Kauffmann+03; van der Wel+09; Bernardi+11; Geha+12)

From outside-in to inside-out evolution



(Cappellari-13 ApJL) (Coma IFU kinematics: Houghton+13)

Spirals -> Fast rotators

- NO mass change
- Environment quenching
- Bulge quenching
- outside-in evolution

Core slow rotators \rightarrow

- Mass growth $M \propto R_e$
- Halo quenching
- Inside-out evolution

Core slow rotators in cluster centres



Strong decrease of spirals in Coma

- Strong increase of fast rotators
- But less core slow rotators in Coma

Hierarchical morphology evolution



Fast rotators

- Generally satellites
- Quenched by environment
- Bulge grows with quenching (also De Lucia+12; Wilman+Erwin-12)

Core slow rotators

- Generally near halo centre
- Sink by dynamical friction
- ISM \rightarrow No-cold accretion
- Mass grows by dry mergers