Mass Estimates from Pixel-by-Pixel SED Fitting: The whole is not equal to the sum of the parts

Robert Sorba

Marcin Sawicki

November 20, 2013



One University. One World. Yours.



PxP Mass Estimates - 1 / 20

SED Models





Young stars are much brighter than old stars.

Models made using FSPS (Conroy, Gunn, & White 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010)

Extremely Over-Simplified Example



Suppose observing galaxy with red spectrum, but only have blue and green spectral models \implies underestimate stellar mass

PxP Fitting

Outshining Method PxP Fitting Data Data Processing SED Fitting NGC 0628 NGC 1097 NGC 4321 Sersic Mass Correction Results Implications

Conclusions



NGC 4321 V band flux

Fit SED to each pixel in a galaxy to get resolved stellar property maps.

Robert Sorba

PxP Mass Estimates - 4 / 20

Data

Outshining Method PxP Fitting Data Data Processing SED Fitting NGC 0628 NGC 1097 NGC 4321 Sersic Mass Correction Results

Implications

Conclusions

SINGS survey

Use galaxies with complete NUV, B, V, R, I data

Focus on face-on galaxies

26 galaxies (20 spiral, 5 elliptical, 1 irregular) with $z_{avg} = 0.0025$

Data Processing



Background subtraction

- Mask foreground stars and saturated pixels
- Convert to same pixel scale (1.5" from Galex nuv images)
- Convolve to broadest PSF
- Apply S/N mask
- Sum pixel fluxes to create "unresolved" broadband fluxes

SED Fitting



NGC 0628

NGC 1097

NGC 4321

Sersic Mass Correction

Results

Implications

Conclusions

FSPS models

Salpeter IMF

 $\blacksquare \text{ Delayed } \tau = 1 \text{ SFH}$

Solar metallicity

NGC 0628



Results

Implications

Conclusions





MASS



SFR



E(B-V)



NGC 1097



NGC 4321

Sersic Mass Correction

Results

Implications

Conclusions





MASS



SFR



E(B-V)



NGC 4321



NGC 4321

Sersic Mass Correction

Results

Implications

Conclusions



MASS



SFR



E(B-V)



PxP Mass Estimates - 10 / 20

Sersic Mass Correction



Missing Mass



Unresolved SED fitting misses \sim 15% of the stellar mass for star forming galaxies. Elliptical galaxies are not affected (probably).

PxP Mass Estimates - 12 / 20

Changing Resolution



Binning = 1



Binning = 5



Binning = 3



Binning = 6



PxP Mass Estimates - 13 / 20

Resolution Effects





PxP Mass Estimates - 14 / 20

Mass Functions





star-forming

quiescent

 $Z - M_{\star} - SFR$ Relation



Mannucci et al. 2010

M_{\star} Tully-Fisher





Future Questions

Outshining
Method
Results
Implications
Conclusions
Future Questions
Conclusions
Conclusione
Questions?

- Would more data affect the results in any way?
- Are stellar masses of ellipticals over-predicted?
- How does outshining change at higher redshift?

Outshining
Method
Results
Implications
Implications
Conclusions
Future Questions
Conclusions
Questions?

- Performed PxP SED fitting on 26 SINGS galaxies and compared with unresolved SED fitting
- Found unresolved stellar masses of star-forming galaxies missed approx. 15% of the mass on average
- Need to resolve at the level of about a kpc to see difference between PxP and unresolved mass estimates
 - This systematic offset has small quantitative implications for many current relations, typically shifting the relations by 0.06 dex

Questions?

Outshining
Method
Results
Implications
Conclusions
Euturo Questiene
Future Questions
Conclusions

Questions?

QUESTIONS?

Robert Sorba

PxP Mass Estimates - 20 / 20