

# Sebastián López & James S. Jenkins DAS, Universidad de Chile slopez@das.uchile.cl



(1)

## 1. Contribution

We present a method to statistically decouple the effects of unknown inclination angles on the mass distribution of exoplanets that have been discovered using radial-velocity (RV) techniques. The method can be used in two directions:

- 1. from the observed mass distribution recover the true-mass distribution, or
- 2. assume a true-mass distribution and recover

# 5. Solution

Using the formalism in [2], the PDF of the product of *X* and *Y*,  $f_Z(z)$ , can be expressed as

$$f_{Z}(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{m_{\min}}^{z} f_{Y}(\frac{z}{u}) f_{X}(u) \frac{1}{u} du, & m_{\min} < z < m_{\min} / \sin i_{\min}, \\ \int_{x \sin i_{\min}}^{z} f_{Y}(\frac{z}{u}) f_{X}(u) \frac{1}{u} du, & m_{\min} / \sin i_{\min} < z < m_{\max}, \\ \int_{x \sin i_{\min}}^{z} f_{Y}(\frac{z}{u}) f_{X}(u) \frac{1}{u} du, & m_{\max} < z < m_{\max} / \sin i_{\min}, \end{cases}$$

provided that  $m_{\min} / \sin i_{\min} < m_{\max}$ . This condition is the equivalent of setting a lower limit on *i*, such that pole-on orbits, producing very large corrections, are excluded from the observed sample.

a prediction for the observed distribution

# 2. Background

The planetary mass distribution is a key aspect needed to understand the origin of exoplanets. Currently, RV detections (e.g. [5, 1, 3]) have provided the largest sample of unconstrained systems. However, the RV technique does not provide planet masses directly, but 'minimum' masses,  $M_{obs} = M_T \sin i$ , where *i*, the lineof-sight inclination angle, and  $M_T$ , the 'true' planet mass, are not known a priori.

### 3. The problem...

Given an analytical model of the empirical minimum-mass distribution constructed from observation, is it possible to recover the true mass distribution by assuming a random distribution of inclination angles? If  $f_X$  is a power-law, the solution of Eq.1 is shown [4] to be proportional to  ${}_2F_1$ , the first hypergeometric function. More complex functions require numerical integration.

#### 6. True-mass recovery



## 8. Minimum-mass recovery

A more practical application of our method is to compute the expected minimum-mass distribution. In this case we define the random variables  $X = \{M_T\}, Y = \{\sin i\}, \text{ and } Z = XY$ , i.e., a prediction for observed masses.



#### 4. ...and our concept

Since one is after mass *distributions*, we have developed a formalism that treats the above quantities as *continuous random variables* and works on their *probability density functions* (PDF). Let *X*, *Y*, and *Z* be random variables, such that

- *X* = {*M*<sub>obs</sub>} represents the ensemble of observed masses,
- *Y* = {(sin *i*)<sup>-1</sup>} represents the ensemble of correction factors, and
- *Z* = *XY* describes an ensemble of corrected masses.

Let also  $f_X$ ,  $f_Y$ , and  $f_Z$  be their respective PDF. We wish to obtain  $f_Z$  (the distribution of true masses), given that both  $f_X$  (the fit to the observed minimum-mass distribution) and  $f_Y$  (the distribution of correction factors) are known [4].

- Data points: simulated distribution of true masses drawn from  $f(M_{\rm obs}) \propto M_{\rm obs}^{-1}$  with  $m_{\rm min} = 1$  and  $m_{\rm max} = 20$ , where the individual masses have been corrected randomly for inclination angle.
- Smooth line: true-mass distribution according to Eq. 1 and using  $1 < y < (\sin 10^\circ)^{-1}$ .
- This kind of models is prone to comparison with current formation/evolution models.

## 7. Minimum angle



- Data points: observed distribution of minimum masses over a sample of 643 RV discovered exoplanets from http://exoplanet.eu.
- Smooth line: predicted minimum-mass distribution assuming  $f(M_T) \propto M_T^{-1}$ , with  $m_{\min} = 0.02 \text{ M}_J$ ,  $m_{\max} = 22 \text{ M}_J$ , and  $\sin 6^\circ < y < 1$ .
- Although the power-law part of the predicted curve only poorly fits the data, both of its extremes do seem to better reproduce the data.
- In the low-mass end our prediction provides an alternative explanation for the observed

#### References

[1] Butler, R. P. et al. 1996, PASP, 108, 500

[2] Glen, A. G. et al. 2004. "Computing the distribution of the product of two continuous random variables," Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, Elsevier, vol. 44(3), pages 451-464.

[3] Jones, Hugh R. A. et al. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1703

[4] Lopez, S. & Jenkins, J. S. 2012, submitted[5] Mayor, M. et al. 1983, A&AS, 54, 495

The shape of the predicted distributions is sensitive to the minimum angle considered: nearpole inclinations produce larger corrections, but these are rarer than near face-on inclinations having smaller corrections. decline, usually explained as due to sample incompleteness.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors have been supported by the *Centro de Astrofísica* FONDAP 15010003. SL has been supported by FONDECYT grant number 1100214. JSJ acknowledges funding by FONDECYT through grant 3110004. This poster has been laid out using LaTeX class baposter.