

# The AMBRE Project: Atmospheric parameters and chemical abundances from stellar spectra in the ESO archive

## Part III: the HARPS sample

### Abstract

The MATISSE/OCA-ESO project (called **AMBRE** hereafter: *Archéologie avec Matisse: aBondances dans les aRchives de l'ESO*) has been designed to automatically analyse the spectral archives of the FEROS, UVES and HARPS instruments with the MATISSE algorithm (Recio-Blanco et al., 2006, MNRAS 370, 141). Stellar radial velocity, atmospheric parameters (effective temperature, surface gravity, mean metallicity [M/H]) and enrichment in  $\alpha$ -elements ([ $\alpha$ /Fe]) are the main products of this analysis (see de Laverny et al., 2013Msngr.153...18D). The HARPS spectra parameterisation relies on a specific grid of synthetic spectra (de Laverny et al., 2012A&A...544A.126D) and is detailed in De Pascale (2014, A&A...570A..68). The results of this analysis can be retrieved directly through the ESO archives.

### Overview of Observations

This release concerns the HARPS data collected between 2003 and 2010. The spectra were reduced by ESO with the corresponding automatic pipeline and then sent to the Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur (OCA, Nice) for ingestion into a dedicated pipeline (see Sect.4 below). No a-priori preselection of the spectra was performed but, all along their analysis a number of spectra are rejected when failing a particular step of the process (presence of extreme emission lines preventing normalisation, failed determination of the radial velocity,...; see the description of the different flags in the section *Release Content* below and in Worley et al., 2012 & De Pascale, 2014).

All HARPS spectra cover the domain 378 nm – 691 nm (with a gap around 530 nm) at a resolution of about 120 000. Before their ingestion into MATISSE, these spectra have been convolved at a lower resolution ( $R \sim 20,000$ ), sliced and resampled. These steps were required in order to disregard the spectral regions affected by sky absorption and telluric features, those where the spectrograph has a lower efficiency or those containing spectral features less sensible to the stellar parameters (identified with preliminary MATISSE training learning functions). This also optimizes the computation time. The spectral analysis with MATISSE was thus performed by considering the spectral ranges defined in Tab.1 of De Pascale et al. (2014) of the original HARPS spectra.

### Release Content

The total number of parametrised HARPS spectra is 93,116. These spectra have been analysed with the pipeline described in Worley et al. (2012) & De Pascale (2014) and shown in Fig.1. The derived atmospheric parameters are then delivered if they are found within the extent of the MATISSE training grid. The adopted range for each parameter is:  $\sim 3500\text{K} \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 7625\text{K}$ ;  $1.0 \leq \log(g) \leq 5.0$ ;  $-3.5 \leq [M/H] \leq +1.0$  and specific values of [ $\alpha$ /Fe].

For each of the spectrum, whenever possible, the following parameters were estimated (please refer to De Pascale, 2014, for more detailed description):

*Signal-to-Noise Ratio:* mean of different estimates performed over different wavelength regions (selected because they are considered to be -almost- free from any lines). After the determination of the final atmospheric parameters (except for rejected stars), this SNR is then re-estimated owing to a better informed selection of line-free spectral regions (based on the reconstructed best fitting synthetic spectrum).

*Radial Velocity:* The stellar radial velocity and its corresponding error (in km/s) is measured by cross-correlating the spectrum with a binary mask adapted to the corresponding stellar type. We also provide the FWHM (in km/s) of the cross-correlation function together with a flag grading the quality of the determined radial velocity (from '0' *very good* to '5' *very bad*, see Sect.5 for more details).

*Effective Temperature and related errors:* The stellar effective temperature (in K) as estimated with the MATISSE algorithm. We also provide the corresponding relative and external errors (in K). Internal errors are computed, for the corresponding SNR, from the theoretical expected errors of MATISSE (estimated from the training vectors) and the propagation of the radial velocity and normalisation uncertainties. External errors are estimated for a given type of stars by comparison with other catalogue determinations. Stars with effective temperature found outside the reference grid range have been disregarded and the atmospheric parameter has a null value.

*Stellar surface gravity and related errors:* Same as the effective temperature (and its internal and external errors) but for the stellar surface gravity ( $g$  in  $\text{cm/s}^2$ ).

*Mean metallicity and related errors:* Same as the effective temperature (and its internal and external errors) but for the stellar metallicity (in dex and noted  $[M/H]$ ). This metallicity index corresponds to all chemical species heavier than He. The adopted solar reference abundances are those of Grevesse et al. (2007, Space Science Review 130, 105).

*$\alpha$ -elements enrichment and related errors:* Same as the effective temperature (and its internal and external errors) but for the stellar abundance of alpha-elements with respect to iron (in dex and noted  $[\alpha/Fe]$ ). Chemical species considered to be  $\alpha$ -elements are O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Ca and Ti.

*Quality Flag:* The overall quality of the atmospheric parameter determination of a given spectrum is checked owing to a  $\chi^2$  test based on its fit with the corresponding reconstructed synthetic spectrum together with a check if the derived parameters are found within the ranges defined at the beginning of Sect.3. We provide the  $\log(\chi^2)$  and a flag grading the quality of the parameter determination (from '0' *very good* to '2' *very bad*, see Sect.5 for more details).


## Data Reduction and Calibration

The adopted reduction pipeline is extensively described in Worley et al. (2012) & De Pascale et al. (2014) and the different steps of the analysis are summarised in Figure 1 below. This analysis pipeline determines the SNR, the radial velocity and carries out normalisation and cleaning of the spectra (such as cosmic rays removal) which are then analysed with the MATISSE algorithm (Recio-Blanco et al., 2006). MATISSE has been trained with a grid of high resolution synthetic spectra (de Laverny et al., 2012.). These spectra were calculated using the MARCS stellar atmosphere models (Gustafsson et al.,

2008) and the Turbopectrum package (Plez, 2012). This grid spans the following stellar parameter ranges:  $2500\text{K} \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 8000\text{K}$ ;  $-0.5 \leq \log g \leq 5.0$ ;  $-5.0 \leq [M/H] \leq +1.0$  and  $\alpha$ -elements enrichment as described above. A total of 16783 synthetic spectra covering the whole optical domain (300-1,200nm) has been computed at the OCA Mesocentre Computer Centre. VALD/atomic line lists (august 2009 content, see Kupka et al., 1999) and molecular line lists (B. Plez, private communication) have been considered. Molecules included are CH, OH, MgH, SiH, CaH, FeH, C<sub>2</sub>, CN, TiO, VO, and ZrO. The learning phase of MATISSE consists of computing vectors from a linear combination of these synthetic spectra. An observed spectrum is then projected onto these vectors to derive its stellar atmospheric parameters.

Quality tests have also been performed from the estimated SNR, radial velocity and normalisation uncertainties and the theoretical expected errors of MATISSE (estimated from the training vectors). Then, a comparison between the HARPS spectra and the corresponding reconstructed synthetic spectrum at the derived parameters has been done and a  $\chi^2$  computed.

Finally, a comparison with external libraries has also been performed in order to compare the MATISSE stellar atmospheric parameters to literature values and to define some quality criteria. For this purpose, a list of comparison stars has been built from different catalogues. Other key well known stars (the Sun, Arcturus, Procyon,...) and stellar samples from other comprehensive stellar studies are also used to provide a crucial comparison of the MATISSE results.



**Figure 1:** The AMBRE Analysis Pipeline. The key stages are displayed in order of analysis. Spectral Processing A (SPA) and Spectral Processing B (SPB) carry out testing of spectra quality and preliminary parameter determination, including calculation of the radial velocity and spectral FWHM. In Spectral Processing C (SPC), robust iterative procedures are carried out resulting in the final stellar parameters and normalised spectra (see Worley et al., 2012).

## Previous Releases

There are two previous releases: AMBRE DR1 includes the FEROS catalog and, AMBRE DR2 the UVES catalog.

## Data Format

### Catalogue Columns

27 different parameters (when possible) are provided for each spectra. They are summarized in the table below and described more in details above in the *Release Content* section when necessary.

*Column 1: DP\_ID* / format = '30A' / ESO data set identifier

*Column 2: OBJECT* / format = '25A' / Object designation as read in ORIGFILE

*Column 3: TARG\_NAME* / format = '25A' / Target designation as read in ORIGFILE

*Column 4: RAJ2000* / format = 'real' in deg/ Telescope pointing (right ascension, J2000)

*Column 5: DEJ2000* / format = 'real' in deg/ Telescope pointing (declination, J2000)

*Column 6: MJD\_OBS* / format = 'real' in Julian Day/ Start of observation date

*Column 7: EXPTIME* / format = 'real' in second/ Total integration time

*Column 8: SNR* / format = real / Signal-to-Noise Ratio as estimated by the pipeline

*Column 9: VRAD* / format = 'real' in km/s / Stellar Radial Velocity as estimated by the pipeline

*Column 10: ERR\_VRAD* / format = 'real' in km/s / Error on the Radial Velocity

*Column 11: VRAD\_CCF\_FWHM* / format = 'real' in km/s / FWHM of the CCF between the spectrum and the binary mask

*Column 12: VRAD\_FLAG* / format = 'I1' / Quality Flag on the radial velocity analysis (from '0' *very good* to '5' *very bad*; *NULL* value is '-99').

*Column 13: TEFF* / format = 'real' in K / Stellar Effective Temperature as estimated by the pipeline

*Column 14: ERR\_INT\_TEFF* / format = 'real' in K / Effective Temperature Internal Error

*Column 15: ERR\_EXT\_TEFF* / format = 'real' in K / Effective Temperature External Error

*Column 16: LOG\_G* / format = 'real',  $g$  in  $\text{cm/s}^2$  / Stellar Surface Gravity ( $\log g$ ) as estimated by the pipeline

*Column 17: ERR\_INT\_LOG\_G* / format = 'real' in  $\text{cm/s}^2$  / Surface Gravity Internal Error

*Column 18: **ERR\_EXT\_LOG\_G** / format = 'real' in cm/s<sup>2</sup>/ Surface Gravity External Error*

*Column 19: **M\_H** / format = 'real' in dex / Mean Metallicity [M/H] as estimated by the pipeline*

*Column 20: **ERR\_INT\_M\_H** / format = 'real' in dex / Mean Metallicity Internal Error*

*Column 21: **ERR\_EXT\_M\_H** / format = 'real' in dex / Mean Metallicity External Error*

*Column 22: **ALPHA** / format = 'real' in dex /  $\alpha$ -elements over Iron Enrichment ( $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ ) as estimated by the pipeline*

*Column 23: **ERR\_INT\_ALPHA** / format = 'real' in dex /  $\alpha$ -elements over Iron Enrichment Internal Error*

*Column 24: **ERR\_EXT\_ALPHA** / format = 'real' in dex /  $\alpha$ -elements over Iron Enrichment External Error*

*Column 25: **CHI2** / format = 'real' /  $\log(\chi^2)$  of the fit between the observed and the reconstructed synthetic spectrum at the MATISSE parameters*

*Column 26: **CHI2\_FLAG** / format = 'I1' / Quality Flag on the fit between the observed and the reconstructed synthetic spectrum at the MATISSE parameters (from '0' *very good*, i.e. the parameters are found within the accepted ranges defined in Sect.3 and the  $\log(\chi^2)$  is very small; to '2' *very bad*: most of the time, the derived parameters are found outside the accepted ranges; *NULL* value is '-99').*

*Column 27: **ESO\_SPEC\_ID** / format = '41A' / ESO filename of the original spectrum being analysed*

## Acknowledgements

*Any publication making use of this data, whether obtained from the ESO archive or via third parties, must include the following acknowledgment:*

- "Based on data products created from observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under the AMBRE project, referring to the publications: de Laverny et al. ([2012, A&A...544A.126D](#)), de Laverny et al., [2013Msngr.153...18D](#)), De Pascale et al. ([2014A&A...570A..68W](#)) and to the MATISSE parametrisation algorithm (Recio-Blanco et al., 2006, MNRAS 370, 141)."

*If the access to the ESO Science Archive Facility services was helpful for your research, please include the following acknowledgment:*

- "This research has made use of the services of the ESO Science Archive Facility."

*Science data products from the ESO archive may be distributed by third parties, and disseminated via other services, according to the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#). Credit to the ESO origin of the data must be acknowledged, and the file headers preserved.*